Data Mining
Classification: Basic Concepts, Decision Trees, and Model Evaluation

Lecture Notes for Chapter 4

Introduction to Data Mining

by

Tan, Steinbach, Kumar

(modified by Predrag Radivojac, 2024)

Classification: Definition

- Given a collection of records (*training set*)
  - Each record contains a set of *attributes*, one of the attributes is the *class*.
- Find a *model* for class attribute as a function of the values of other attributes.
- Goal: previously unseen records should be assigned a class as accurately as possible.
  - A *test set* is used to determine the accuracy of the model. Usually, the given data set is divided into training and test sets, with training set used to build the model and test set used to validate it.

Illustrating Classification Task

Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
1	Yes	Large	125K	No
2	No	Medium	100K	No
3	No	Small	70K	No
4	Yes	Medium	120K	No
5	No	Large	95K	Yes
6	No	Medium	60K	No
7	Yes	Large	220K	No
8	No	Small	85K	Yes
9	No	Medium	75K	No
10	No	Small	90K	Yes

Training Set

Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
11	No	Small	55K	?
12	Yes	Medium	80K	?
13	Yes	Large	110K	?
14	No	Small	95K	?
15	No	Large	67K	?

Test Set



Examples of Classification Task

- Predicting tumor cells as benign or malignant

- Classifying credit card transactions as legitimate or fraudulent

- Classifying secondary structures of protein as alpha-helix, beta-sheet, or random coil



- Categorizing news stories as finance, weather, entertainment, sports, etc

Classification Techniques

- Decision Tree based Methods
- Rule-based Methods
- Memory based reasoning
- Neural Networks
- Naïve Bayes and Bayesian Belief Networks
- Support Vector Machines

Example of a Decision Tree

	categorical	categorical	continuous	class
Tid	**Refund**	**Marital Status**	**Taxable Income**	**Cheat**
1	Yes	Single	125K	**No**
2	No	Married	100K	**No**
3	No	Single	70K	**No**
4	Yes	Married	120K	**No**
5	No	Divorced	95K	**Yes**
6	No	Married	60K	**No**
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	**No**
8	No	Single	85K	**Yes**
9	No	Married	75K	**No**
10	No	Single	90K	**Yes**

Training Data



Model: Decision Tree

Another Example of Decision Tree

	categorical	categorical	continuous	class
Tid	**Refund**	**Marital Status**	**Taxable Income**	**Cheat**
1	Yes	Single	125K	**No**
2	No	Married	100K	**No**
3	No	Single	70K	**No**
4	Yes	Married	120K	**No**
5	No	Divorced	95K	**Yes**
6	No	Married	60K	**No**
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	**No**
8	No	Single	85K	**Yes**
9	No	Married	75K	**No**
10	No	Single	90K	**Yes**



There could be more than one tree that fits the same data!

Decision Tree Classification Task

Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
1	Yes	Large	125K	No
2	No	Medium	100K	No
3	No	Small	70K	No
4	Yes	Medium	120K	No
5	No	Large	95K	Yes
6	No	Medium	60K	No
7	Yes	Large	220K	No
8	No	Small	85K	Yes
9	No	Medium	75K	No
10	No	Small	90K	Yes

Training Set



Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
11	No	Small	55K	?
12	Yes	Medium	80K	?
13	Yes	Large	110K	?
14	No	Small	95K	?
15	No	Large	67K	?

Test Set

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Apply Model to Test Data



Test Data

Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
No	Married	80K	?

Assign Cheat to "No"

Decision Tree Classification Task

Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
1	Yes	Large	125K	No
2	No	Medium	100K	No
3	No	Small	70K	No
4	Yes	Medium	120K	No
5	No	Large	95K	Yes
6	No	Medium	60K	No
7	Yes	Large	220K	No
8	No	Small	85K	Yes
9	No	Medium	75K	No
10	No	Small	90K	Yes

Training Set



Tid	Attrib1	Attrib2	Attrib3	Class
11	No	Small	55K	?
12	Yes	Medium	80K	?
13	Yes	Large	110K	?
14	No	Small	95K	?
15	No	Large	67K	?

Test Set

Decision Tree Induction

- Many Algorithms:
  - Hunt’s Algorithm (one of the earliest)
  - CART
  - ID3, C4.5
  - SLIQ, SPRINT

General Structure of Hunt's Algorithm

- Let D_t be the set of training records that reach a node t
- General Procedure:
  - If D_t contains records that belong the same class y_t, then t is a leaf node labeled as y_t
  - If D_t is an empty set, then t is a leaf node labeled by the default class, y_d
  - If D_t contains records that belong to more than one class, use an attribute test to split the data into smaller subsets. Recursively apply the procedure to each subset.

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	**No**
2	No	Married	100K	**No**
3	No	Single	70K	**No**
4	Yes	Married	120K	**No**
5	No	Divorced	95K	**Yes**
6	No	Married	60K	**No**
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	**No**
8	No	Single	85K	**Yes**
9	No	Married	75K	**No**
10	No	Single	90K	**Yes**



Hunt's Algorithm



Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Cheat
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	No	Single	90K	Yes

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
  - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.

- Issues
  - Determine how to split the records
    - How to specify the attribute test condition?
    - How to determine the best split?
  - Determine when to stop splitting

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
  - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.

- Issues
  - Determine how to split the records
    - How to specify the attribute test condition?
    - How to determine the best split?
  - Determine when to stop splitting

How to Specify Test Condition?

- Depends on attribute types
  - Nominal
  - Ordinal
  - Continuous

- Depends on number of ways to split
  - 2-way split
  - Multi-way split

Splitting Based on Nominal Attributes

- Multi-way split: Use as many partitions as distinct values.



- Binary split: Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.



OR



Splitting Based on Ordinal Attributes

- Multi-way split: Use as many partitions as distinct values.



- Binary split: Divides values into two subsets. Need to find optimal partitioning.



OR



- What about this split?



Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes

- Different ways of handling
  - Discretization to form an ordinal categorical attribute
    - Static – discretize once at the beginning
    - Dynamic – ranges can be found by equal interval bucketing, equal frequency bucketing (percentiles), or clustering.
  - Binary Decision: $(A < v)$ or $(A \geq v)$
    - consider all possible splits and finds the best cut
    - can be more compute intensive

Splitting Based on Continuous Attributes



(i) Binary split



(ii) Multi-way split

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
  - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.

- Issues
  - Determine how to split the records
    - How to specify the attribute test condition?
    - How to determine the best split?
  - Determine when to stop splitting

How to determine the Best Split

Before Splitting: 10 records of class 0,
10 records of class 1



Which test condition is the best?

How to determine the Best Split

- Greedy approach:
  - Nodes with homogeneous class distribution are preferred

- Need a measure of node impurity:

C0: 5	C0: 9
C1: 5	C1: 1

Non-homogeneous,

High degree of impurity

Homogeneous,

Low degree of impurity

Measures of Node Impurity

- Gini Index
- Entropy
- Misclassification error

How to Find the Best Split

Before Splitting:

C0	**N00**
C1	**N01**

→ **M0**



Gain = M0 – M12 vs M0 – M34

Measure of Impurity: GINI

- Gini Index for a given node t :

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_{j} [p(j \mid t)]^2$$

(NOTE: $p(j \mid t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t).

  - Maximum ($1 - 1/n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information
  - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information

C1	**0**
C2	**6**
Gini=0.000	

C1	**1**
C2	**5**
Gini=0.278	

C1	**2**
C2	**4**
Gini=0.444	

C1	**3**
C2	**3**
Gini=0.500	

Examples for computing GINI

$$GINI(t) = 1 - \sum_j [p(j \mid t)]^2$$

C1	**0**
C2	**6**

P(C1) = 0/6 = 0 P(C2) = 6/6 = 1

Gini = 1 – $P(C1)^2$ – $P(C2)^2$ = 1 – 0 – 1 = 0

C1	**1**
C2	**5**

P(C1) = 1/6 P(C2) = 5/6

Gini = 1 – $(1/6)^2$ – $(5/6)^2$ = 0.278

C1	**2**
C2	**4**

P(C1) = 2/6 P(C2) = 4/6

Gini = 1 – $(2/6)^2$ – $(4/6)^2$ = 0.444

Splitting Based on GINI

- Used in CART, SLIQ, SPRINT.
- When a node p is split into k partitions (children), the quality of split is computed as,

$$GINI_{split} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} GINI(i)$$

where, n_i = number of records at child i,

n = number of records at node p.

Binary Attributes: Computing GINI Index

- Splits into two partitions
- Effect of Weighing partitions:
  - Larger and Purer Partitions are sought for.

	Parent
C1	**6**
C2	**6**
Gini = 0.500	



Gini(N1)
$= 1 - (5/6)^2 - (2/6)^2$
$= 0.194$

Gini(N2)
$= 1 - (1/6)^2 - (4/6)^2$
$= 0.528$

	N1	N2
C1	**5**	**1**
C2	**2**	**4**
Gini=0.333		

Gini(Children)
$= 7/12 * 0.194 +$
$5/12 * 0.528$
$= 0.333$

This calculation is not correct! Why?

Categorical Attributes: Computing Gini Index

- For each distinct value, gather counts for each class in the dataset
- Use the count matrix to make decisions

Multi-way split

	CarType		
	Family	Sports	Luxury
C1	1	2	1
C2	4	1	1
Gini	0.393		

Two-way split
(find best partition of values)

	CarType	
	{Sports, Luxury}	{Family}
C1	3	1
C2	2	4
Gini	0.400	

	CarType	
	{Sports}	{Family, Luxury}
C1	2	2
C2	1	5
Gini	0.419	

Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index

- Use Binary Decisions based on one value
- Several Choices for the splitting value
  - Number of possible splitting values = Number of distinct values
- Each splitting value has a count matrix associated with it
  - Class counts in each of the partitions, $A < v$ and $A \geq v$
- Simple method to choose best v
  - For each v, scan the database to gather count matrix and compute its Gini index
  - Computationally Inefficient! Repetition of work.

Tid	**Refund**	**Marital Status**	**Taxable Income**	**Cheat**
1	Yes	Single	125K	**No**
2	No	Married	100K	**No**
3	No	Single	70K	**No**
4	Yes	Married	120K	**No**
5	No	Divorced	95K	**Yes**
6	No	Married	60K	**No**
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	**No**
8	No	Single	85K	**Yes**
9	No	Married	75K	**No**
10	No	Single	90K	**Yes**



Continuous Attributes: Computing Gini Index...

- For efficient computation: for each attribute,
  - Sort the attribute on values
  - Linearly scan these values, each time updating the count matrix and computing gini index
  - Choose the split position that has the least gini index

Cheat	No	No	No	Yes	Yes	Yes	No	No	No	No
	Taxable Income									
Sorted Values	60	70	75	85	90	95	100	120	125	220

Split Positions	55		65		72		80		87		92		97		110		122		172		230	
	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>	<=	>
Yes	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	1	2	2	1	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0	3	0
No	0	7	1	6	2	5	3	4	3	4	3	4	3	4	4	3	5	2	6	1	7	0
Gini	0.420		0.400		0.375		0.343		0.417		0.400		***0.300***		0.343		0.375		0.400		0.420	

Alternative Splitting Criteria based on INFO

- Entropy at a given node t:

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_j p(j \mid t) \log p(j \mid t)$$

(NOTE: $p(j \mid t)$ is the relative frequency of class j at node t).

- – Measures homogeneity of a node.
  - Maximum ($\log n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes implying least information
  - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most information
- – Entropy based computations are similar to the GINI index computations

Examples for computing Entropy

$$Entropy(t) = -\sum_j p(j \mid t) \log_2 p(j \mid t)$$

C1	**0**
C2	**6**

P(C1) = 0/6 = 0   P(C2) = 6/6 = 1

Entropy = – 0 log 0 – 1 log 1 = – 0 – 0 = 0

C1	**1**
C2	**5**

P(C1) = 1/6   P(C2) = 5/6

Entropy = – (1/6) $\log_2$ (1/6) – (5/6) $\log_2$ (1/6) = 0.65

C1	**2**
C2	**4**

P(C1) = 2/6   P(C2) = 4/6

Entropy = – (2/6) $\log_2$ (2/6) – (4/6) $\log_2$ (4/6) = 0.92

Splitting Based on INFO...

- Information Gain:

$$GAIN_{split} = Entropy(p) - \left(\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} Entropy(i) \right)$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions;

n_i is number of records in partition i

  – Measures Reduction in Entropy achieved because of the split. Choose the split that achieves most reduction (maximizes GAIN)
  – Used in ID3 and C4.5
  – Disadvantage: Tends to prefer splits that result in large number of partitions, each being small but pure.

Splitting Based on INFO...

- Gain Ratio:

$$GainRATIO_{split} = \frac{GAIN_{Split}}{SplitINFO} \qquad SplitINFO = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \frac{n_i}{n} \log \frac{n_i}{n}$$

Parent Node, p is split into k partitions

n_i is the number of records in partition i

  – Adjusts Information Gain by the entropy of the partitioning (SplitINFO). Higher entropy partitioning (large number of small partitions) is penalized!
  – Used in C4.5
  – Designed to overcome the disadvantage of Information Gain

Splitting Criteria based on Classification Error

- Classification error at a node t :

$$\boxed{Error(t) = 1 - \max_i P(i \mid t)}$$

- Measures misclassification error made by a node.
  - Maximum ($1 - 1/n_c$) when records are equally distributed among all classes, implying least interesting information
  - Minimum (0.0) when all records belong to one class, implying most interesting information

Examples for Computing Error

$$Error(t) = 1 - \max_i P(i \mid t)$$

C1	**0**
C2	**6**

P(C1) = 0/6 = 0   P(C2) = 6/6 = 1

Error = 1 – max (0, 1) = 1 – 1 = 0

C1	**1**
C2	**5**

P(C1) = 1/6   P(C2) = 5/6

Error = 1 – max (1/6, 5/6) = 1 – 5/6 = 1/6

C1	**2**
C2	**4**

P(C1) = 2/6   P(C2) = 4/6

Error = 1 – max (2/6, 4/6) = 1 – 4/6 = 1/3

Comparison among Splitting Criteria

For a 2-class problem:



Misclassification Error vs Gini



	Parent
C1	**7**
C2	**3**
Gini = 0.42	

Gini(N1)
$= 1 - (3/3)^2 - (0/3)^2$
$= 0$

Gini(N2)
$= 1 - (4/7)^2 - (3/7)^2$
$= 0.489$

	N1	N2
C1	**3**	**4**
C2	**0**	**3**
Gini=0.361		

Gini(Children)
$= 3/10 * 0$
$+ 7/10 * 0.489$
$= 0.342$

Gini improves !!

Tom Mitchell’s example

Day	Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Play Tennis?
1	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	No
2	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	No
3	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
4	Rain	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
5	Rain	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
6	Rain	Cool	Normal	Strong	No
7	Overcast	Cool	Normal	Strong	Yes
8	Sunny	Mild	High	Weak	No
9	Sunny	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
10	Rain	Mild	Normal	Weak	Yes
11	Sunny	Mild	Normal	Strong	Yes
12	Overcast	Mild	High	Strong	Yes
13	Overcast	Hot	Normal	Weak	Yes
14	Rain	Mild	High	Strong	No

Day	Outlook	Temperature	Humidity	Wind	Play Tennis?
1	Sunny	Hot	High	Weak	No
2	Sunny	Hot	High	Strong	No
3	Overcast	Hot	High	Weak	Yes
4	Rain	Mild	High	Weak	Yes
5	Rain	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
6	Rain	Cool	Normal	Strong	No
7	Overcast	Cool	Normal	Strong	Yes
8	Sunny	Mild	High	Weak	No
9	Sunny	Cool	Normal	Weak	Yes
10	Rain	Mild	Normal	Weak	Yes
11	Sunny	Mild	Normal	Strong	Yes
12	Overcast	Mild	High	Strong	Yes
13	Overcast	Hot	Normal	Weak	Yes
14	Rain	Mild	High	Strong	No

Tree Induction

- Greedy strategy.
  - Split the records based on an attribute test that optimizes certain criterion.

- Issues
  - Determine how to split the records
    - How to specify the attribute test condition?
    - How to determine the best split?
  - Determine when to stop splitting

Stopping Criteria for Tree Induction

- Stop expanding a node when all the records belong to the same class

- Stop expanding a node when all the records have similar attribute values

- Early termination (to be discussed later)

Decision Tree Based Classification

- Advantages:
  - Inexpensive to construct
  - Extremely fast at classifying unknown records
  - Easy to interpret for small-sized trees
  - Accuracy is comparable to other classification techniques for many simple data sets

Example: C4.5

- Simple depth-first construction.
- Uses Information Gain
- Sorts Continuous Attributes at each node
- Needs entire data to fit in memory
- Unsuitable for Large Datasets
  – Needs out-of-core sorting

Practical Issues of Classification

- Underfitting and Overfitting

- Missing Values

- Costs of Classification

Underfitting and Overfitting (Example)



500 circular and 500 triangular data points.

Circular points:

$0.5 \leq \text{sqrt}(x_1^2+x_2^2) \leq 1$

Triangular points:

$\text{sqrt}(x_1^2+x_2^2) > 0.5$ or

$\text{sqrt}(x_1^2+x_2^2) < 1$

Underfitting and Overfitting



Underfitting: when model is too simple, both training and test errors are large

Overfitting due to Noise



Decision boundary is distorted by noise point

Overfitting due to Insufficient Examples



Lack of data points in the lower half of the diagram makes it difficult to predict correctly the class labels of that region

- Insufficient number of training records in the region causes the decision tree to predict the test examples using other training records that are irrelevant to the classification task

Notes on Overfitting

- Overfitting results in decision trees that are more complex than necessary

- Training error no longer provides a good estimate of how well the tree will perform on previously unseen records

- Need new ways for estimating errors

Estimating Generalization Errors

- Re-substitution errors: error on training (Σ e(t))
- Generalization errors: error on testing (Σ e'(t))
- Methods for estimating generalization errors:
  - Optimistic approach: e'(t) = e(t)
  - Pessimistic approach:
    - For each leaf node: e'(t) = (e(t)+0.5)
    - Total errors: e'(T) = e(T) + N $\times$ 0.5 (N: number of leaf nodes)
    - For a tree with 30 leaf nodes and 10 errors on training (out of 1000 instances):

      Training error = 10/1000 = 1%

      Generalization error = (10 + 30$\times$0.5)/1000 = 2.5%
  - Reduced error pruning (REP):
    - uses validation data set to estimate generalization error

Occam's Razor

- Given two models of similar generalization errors, one should prefer the simpler model over the more complex model

- For complex models, there is a greater chance that it was fitted accidentally by errors in data

- Therefore, one should include model complexity when evaluating a model

Minimum Description Length (MDL)

X	y
X_1	1
X_2	0
X_3	0
X_4	1
…	…
X_n	1



X	y
X_1	?
X_2	?
X_3	?
X_4	?
…	…
X_n	?

- Cost(Model,Data) = Cost(Data|Model) + Cost(Model)
  - Cost is the number of bits needed for encoding.
  - Search for the least costly model.
- Cost(Data|Model) encodes the misclassification errors.
- Cost(Model) uses node encoding (number of children) plus splitting condition encoding.

How to Address Overfitting

- Pre-Pruning (Early Stopping Rule)
  - Stop the algorithm before it becomes a fully-grown tree
  - Typical stopping conditions for a node:
    - Stop if all instances belong to the same class
    - Stop if all the attribute values are the same
  - More restrictive conditions:
    - Stop if number of instances is less than some user-specified threshold
    - Stop if class distribution of instances are independent of the available features (e.g., using χ^2 test)
    - Stop if expanding the current node does not improve impurity measures (e.g., Gini or information gain).

χ^2 test

How to Address Overfitting…

- Post-pruning
  - Grow decision tree to its entirety
  - Trim the nodes of the decision tree in a bottom-up fashion
  - If generalization error improves after trimming, replace sub-tree by a leaf node.
  - Class label of leaf node is determined from majority class of instances in the sub-tree
  - Can use MDL for post-pruning

Example of Post-Pruning

Class = Yes	20
Class = No	10
Error = 10/30	

Training Error (Before splitting) = 10/30

Pessimistic error = (10 + 0.5)/30 = 10.5/30

Training Error (After splitting) = 9/30

Pessimistic error (After splitting)

= (9 + 4 $\times$ 0.5)/30 = 11/30

PRUNE!



Class = Yes	8
Class = No	4

Class = Yes	3
Class = No	4

Class = Yes	4
Class = No	1

Class = Yes	5
Class = No	1

Examples of Post-pruning

– Optimistic error?

Don't prune for both cases

– Pessimistic error?

Don't prune case 1, prune case 2

– Reduced error pruning?

Depends on validation set

Case 1:



Case 2:



Handling Missing Attribute Values

- Missing values affect decision tree construction in three different ways:
  - Affects how impurity measures are computed
  - Affects how to distribute instance with missing value to child nodes
  - Affects how a test instance with missing value is classified

Computing Impurity Measure

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No
10	?	Single	90K	Yes

Missing value

Before Splitting:

Entropy(Parent)
= -0.3 log(0.3)-(0.7)log(0.7) = 0.8813

	Class = Yes	Class = No
Refund=Yes	0	3
Refund=No	2	4
Refund=?	1	0

Split on Refund:

Entropy(Refund=Yes) = 0

Entropy(Refund=No)
= -(2/6)log(2/6) – (4/6)log(4/6) = 0.9183

Entropy(Children)
= 0.3 (0) + 0.6 (0.9183) = 0.551

Gain = 0.9 × (0.8813 – 0.551) = 0.3303

Distribute Instances

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
1	Yes	Single	125K	No
2	No	Married	100K	No
3	No	Single	70K	No
4	Yes	Married	120K	No
5	No	Divorced	95K	Yes
6	No	Married	60K	No
7	Yes	Divorced	220K	No
8	No	Single	85K	Yes
9	No	Married	75K	No

Refund

Yes

Class=Yes	**0**
Class=No	**3**

No

Cheat=Yes	**2**
Cheat=No	**4**

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
10	?	Single	90K	Yes

Refund

Yes

Class=Yes	**0 + 3/9**
Class=No	**3**

No

Class=Yes	**2 + 6/9**
Class=No	**4**

Probability that Refund=Yes is 3/9

Probability that Refund=No is 6/9

Assign record to the left child with weight = 3/9 and to the right child with weight = 6/9

Classify Instances

New record:

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
11	No	?	85K	?



	Married	Single	Divorced	Total
Class=No	3	1	0	4
Class=Yes	6/9	1	1	2.67
Total	3.67	2	1	6.67

Probability that Marital Status = Married is 3.67/6.67

Probability that Marital Status ={Single,Divorced} is 3/6.67

Classify Instances

New record:

Tid	Refund	Marital Status	Taxable Income	Class
11	No	?	85K	?



	Married	Single	Divorced	Total
Class=No	3	1	0	4
Class=Yes	0	15/9	1	2.67
Total	3	24/9	1	6.67

Probability that Marital Status = Married is 3/6.67

Probability that Marital Status ={Single,Divorced} is 3.67/6.67

Corrected table!

Other Issues

- Data Fragmentation
- Search Strategy
- Expressiveness
- Tree Replication

Data Fragmentation

- Number of instances gets smaller as you traverse down the tree

- Number of instances at the leaf nodes could be too small to make any statistically significant decision

Search Strategy

- Finding an optimal decision tree is NP-hard
- The algorithm presented so far uses a greedy, top-down, recursive partitioning strategy to induce a reasonable solution
- Other strategies?
  - Bottom-up
  - Bi-directional

Expressiveness

features

examples

	X_1	X_2	X_3	X_d	Y
$\boldsymbol{x}_1$	0	0	0	0	
$\boldsymbol{x}_2$	0	0	0	1	
$\boldsymbol{x}_3$	0	0	0	0	
$\boldsymbol{x}_n$	1	1	1	1	



How many possible arrangements of target?

2^{2^d}

How many leaves and arrangements?

2^d 2^{2^d}

Expressiveness

- Decision tree provides expressive representation for learning discrete-valued function
  - But they do not generalize well to certain types of Boolean functions
    - Example: parity function:
      - Class = 1 if there is an even number of Boolean attributes with truth value = True
      - Class = 0 if there is an odd number of Boolean attributes with truth value = True
    - For accurate modeling, must have a complete tree

- Not expressive enough for modeling continuous variables
  - Particularly when test condition involves only a single attribute at a time

Decision Boundary



• **Border line between two neighboring regions of different classes is known as decision boundary**

• **Decision boundary is parallel to axes because test condition involves a single attribute at a time**

Oblique Decision Trees





- Test condition may involve multiple attributes
- More expressive representation
- Finding optimal test condition is computationally expensive

Tree Replication



- **Same subtree appears in multiple branches**

Regression Trees





Hastie et al. Elements of statistical learning. Springer, 2001.

Regression Trees: Prediction

Our prediction:

$$f(x) = \sum_{m=1}^{M} c_m I(x \in R_m).$$

where:

$$\hat{c}_m = \mathrm{ave}(y_i | x_i \in R_m).$$



Hastie et al. Elements of statistical learning. Springer, 2001.

Regression Trees: Splitting

Suppose we split based on feature *j* using threshold *s*

$$R_1(j,s) = \{X|X_j \le s\} \ \text{ and } \ R_2(j,s) = \{X|X_j > s\}$$



Splitting criterion:

$$\min_{j,\ s}\left[\cancel{\min_{c_1}}\sum_{x_i\in R_1(j,s)}(y_i-c_1)^2+\cancel{\min_{c_2}}\sum_{x_i\in R_2(j,s)}(y_i-c_2)^2\right].$$

where:

$$\hat{c}_1 = \text{ave}(y_i|x_i \in R_1(j,s)) \ \text{ and } \ \hat{c}_2 = \text{ave}(y_i|x_i \in R_2(j,s)).$$

Hastie et al. Elements of statistical learning. Springer, 2001.

Regression Trees: Limitations

Can we learn this?



Possible model



Hastie et al. Elements of statistical learning. Springer, 2001.