

## Intro to Logic

A *group* is a triple  $\langle G, \circ, e \rangle$  such that

- (G1) For all  $x, y, z$ :  $(x \circ y) \circ z = x \circ (y \circ z)$ .
- (G2) For all  $x$ :  $x \circ e = x$ .
- (G3) For all  $x$  there is a  $y$  such that:  $x \circ y = e$ .

The following are groups:  $\langle \mathbb{Z}, +, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{R}, +, 0 \rangle$ .

The following are not:  $\langle \mathbb{N}, +, 0 \rangle$  and  $\langle \mathbb{R}, \cdot, 1 \rangle$ .

The axioms mention right inverses; below we claim that left inverses exist.

**Theorem 1** *For every  $x$ , there is a  $y$  such that:  $y \circ x = e$ .*

In mathematics, we study the properties of various objects, e.g., groups. The properties that these objects enjoy are captured with “non-logical” axioms, e.g., in the case of group theory, (G1)-(G3). The theory of groups consists of all theorems that are derivable from the “non-logical axioms” via *logical reasoning alone*.

This reasoning cannot appeal to intuition or “obvious truths” about groups. So, what exactly is a “proof”, then? This question naturally leads to computer science and historically that is what happened, as a proof has to be machine-checkable.

## Proofs and Logic

When we prove theorems about groups, then the results apply to every instance of a group, a structure satisfying  $G = \{(G1), (G2), (G3)\}$ .

If some formula  $\varphi$  holds in every group (denoted  $G \models \varphi$ ), then does there necessarily exist a proof (denoted  $G \vdash \varphi$ )?

Note that proofs are finite, but there are many groups; how many?

Some of the results we prove will answer these questions in a very general way.

Preview: There are so many groups, that they do not even form a set. Also, we will present a simple proof theory. Then, we will see that for any set of sentences  $\Phi$  and any sentence  $\varphi$ ,  $\Phi \models \varphi$  iff  $\Phi \vdash \varphi$ . This is Gödel's completeness theorem, perhaps the most important result in logic, as it relates syntax with semantics.

# Alphabets

An *alphabet*  $\mathcal{A}$  is a nonempty set of *symbols*.  $\mathcal{A}^*$  is the set of finite strings over  $\mathcal{A}$ .

**Lemma 1** *If  $|\mathcal{A}| \leq \omega$  then  $|\mathcal{A}^*| = \omega$*